

# Tennessee Attorneys Memo

2010 Family Law Conference for  
Tennessee Practitioners

A weekly summary of all new Tennessee law developments

## SUBJECT INDEX

### APPEAL & ERROR

#### Appellate Brief (Failure to Cite Authority)

Chiozza v. Chiozza, 35 TAM 1-22, 315 SW3d 482, CA WS

#### Frivolous Appeal

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

#### Frivolous Appeal (Denied: Divorce-Related)

Larsen-Ball v. Ball, 35 TAM 4-3, 301 SW3d 228, SC Knoxville

Cummins v. Cummins, 35 TAM 35-11, CA MS

#### Frivolous Appeal (Granted: Divorce-Related)

Chiozza v. Chiozza, 35 TAM 1-22, 315 SW3d 482, CA WS

#### Mootness

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS

#### Transcript (Cost)

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

#### Waiver (Failure to Raise at Trial)

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS

### CIVIL PROCEDURE

#### Bond

2010 PC 1094, 35 TAM 27-35, GA

#### Findings & Conclusions

In re Angela E., 35 TAM 8-2, 303 SW3d 240, SC Jackson

#### Foreign Judgment

State ex rel. Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Farmer, 35 TAM 40-16, CA WS

#### Garnishment

McKee-Livingston v. Livingston, 35 TAM 8-18, CA MS, appeal denied 8/25/10

#### Judicial Bias

Webb v. Webb, 34 TAM 47-8, CA MS

#### Jurisdiction (Subject Matter: Original)

Goins v. Gay, 35 TAM 7-8, CA ES

#### Local Rules

Barker v. Chandler, 34 TAM 42-13, CA WS

#### Post-Judgment Relief (Timeliness)

In re Kempton L.D., 35 TAM 23-10, CA WS

#### Recusal (Bias)

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

#### Res Judicata (Identity of Issues)

In re Heaven L. F., 35 TAM 12-12, 311 SW3d 435, CA MS

#### Restraining Order

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

#### Standing (Interest: Family)

In re Sidney J., 35 TAM 20-1, 313 SW3d 772, SC Nashville

#### Wiretapping

McDaniel v. McDaniel, 35 TAM 26-13, CA ES

### COMMERCIAL LAW

#### Arbitration

Tuetken v. Tuetken, 35 TAM 40-3, SC Jackson

### CONTRACTS

#### Consideration (Adequacy)

Baines v. Baines, 34 TAM 50-17, CA ES

#### Rescission (Mistake)

Tuetken v. Tuetken, 35 TAM 40-3, SC Jackson

#### Unconscionability

Baines v. Baines, 34 TAM 50-17, CA ES

### CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

#### Contempt

McDaniel v. McDaniel, 35 TAM 26-13, CA ES

### FAMILY LAW

#### Adoption

2010 PC 760, 35 TAM 18-32, GA

In re Sidney J., 35 TAM 20-1, 313 SW3d 772, SC Nashville

#### Adoption (Procedure)

2010 PC 849, 35 TAM 20-47, GA

#### Adoption (Surrender)

In re Angela E., 35 TAM 8-2, 303 SW3d 240, SC Jackson

2010 PC 915, 35 TAM 23-49, GA

#### Alimony

Baines v. Baines, 34 TAM 50-17, CA ES

#### Alimony (Changed Circumstances: Decrease)

Lane v. Lane, 34 TAM 51-7, CA WS at Nashville

Keith v. Keith, 35 TAM 17-9, CA ES

#### Alimony (Changed Circumstances: Increase)

Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville

#### Alimony (Credits)

State ex rel. DeBusk v. DeBusk, 35 TAM 12-13, CA ES

#### Alimony (In Futuro)

Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

#### Alimony (In Futuro: Reasonable)

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

#### Alimony (In Solido)

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

#### Alimony (Modification on Appeal: Award)

Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

#### Alimony (Modification on Appeal: Type)

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

#### Alimony (Recipient Living with Third Person)

Audiffred v. Wertz, 35 TAM 2-15, CA WS at Nashville, appeal denied 8/25/10

#### Alimony (Rehabilitative)

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

#### Alimony (Transitional)

Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

#### Antenuptial Agreement

Cummins v. Cummins, 35 TAM 35-11, CA MS

#### Attorney's Fee (Alteration on Appeal: Amount)

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

TENNESSEE ATTORNEYS MEMO (ISSN 0194-1259) is published weekly by M. Lee Smith Publishers LLC, 5201 Virginia Way, P.O. Box 5094, Brentwood, TN 37024-5094. Subscriptions, 615/661-0246; case copies, 615/661-0248; other calls, 615/373-7517. Periodicals postage paid at Brentwood, TN and additional offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to TENNESSEE ATTORNEYS MEMO, P.O. Box 5094, Brentwood, TN 37024-5094. Copyright 2010 M. Lee Smith Publishers LLC. Photocopying or reproducing in any other form in whole or in part is a violation of federal copyright law and is strictly prohibited without the publisher's consent.

Virginia Mayo, Editor • Jean Simpkins, Associate Editor • Jason Bohanan, Editorial Assistant

**Attorney's Fee (Alteration on Appeal: Award)**

Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville  
Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville

**Attorney's Fee (Award: Proper)**

Massey v. Casals, 35 TAM 1-21, 315 SW3d 788, CA WS  
Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS  
Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS  
Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS  
King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**Attorney's Fee (Award: Reasonableness)**

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Attorney's Fee (Award for Appeal)**

Webb v. Webb, 34 TAM 47-8, CA MS  
Audiffred v. Wertz, 35 TAM 2-15, CA WS at Nashville, appeal denied 8/25/10

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS  
Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS  
Brinton v. Brinton, 35 TAM 25-15, CA MS  
Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville  
King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**Attorney's Fee (No Award)**

Hudson v. Hudson, 34 TAM 49-12, CA ES at Nashville, appeal granted 4/15/10

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS  
Audiffred v. Wertz, 35 TAM 2-15, CA WS at Nashville, appeal denied 8/25/10

Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville  
Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville  
King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**Child Custody**

Barker v. Chandler, 34 TAM 42-13, CA WS  
2010 PC 832, 35 TAM 20-46, GA  
Tuetken v. Tuetken, 35 TAM 40-3, SC Jackson

**Child Custody (Change)**

Burris v. Burris, 35 TAM 19-8, CA WS at Nashville  
Reinagel v. Reinagel, 35 TAM 33-7, CA ES at Nashville  
In re Tyrus V., 35 TAM 37-14, CA MS

**Child Custody (Change: Child's Preference)**

Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville

**Child Custody (Change: Home Environment)**

Webb v. Webb, 34 TAM 47-8, CA MS  
King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**Child Custody (Change: Moral Conduct)**

Reinagel v. Reinagel, 35 TAM 33-7, CA ES at Nashville

**Child Custody (Restraint on Custodian's Relocation)**

Hudson v. Hudson, 34 TAM 49-12, CA ES at Nashville, appeal granted 4/15/10

King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**Child Support**

K.A.G. v. B.L.I., 35 TAM 1-16, CA ES at Nashville  
Chiozza v. Chiozza, 35 TAM 1-22, 315 SW3d 482, CA WS  
2010 PC 915, 35 TAM 23-49, GA  
Bradley v. Bradley, 35 TAM 31-9, CA MS

**Child Support (Arrearages)**

Massey v. Casals, 35 TAM 1-21, 315 SW3d 788, CA WS  
Burris v. Burris, 35 TAM 19-8, CA WS at Nashville

**Child Support (Change: Increase)**

Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville  
Bradley v. Bradley, 35 TAM 31-9, CA MS

**Child Support (Credits)**

State ex rel. DeBusk v. DeBusk, 35 TAM 12-13, CA ES  
Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS  
Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville

**Child Support (DHS Guidelines: Deviation)**

Massey v. Casals, 35 TAM 1-21, 315 SW3d 788, CA WS  
Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville  
Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville

**Child Support (DHS Guidelines: Income)**

Reed v. Steadham, 34 TAM 47-11, CA ES  
Massey v. Casals, 35 TAM 1-21, 315 SW3d 788, CA WS  
Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS  
Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville  
Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville  
Bradley v. Bradley, 35 TAM 31-9, CA MS

Reinagel v. Reinagel, 35 TAM 33-7, CA ES at Nashville

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Child Support (Enforcement)**

McKee-Livingston v. Livingston, 35 TAM 8-18, CA MS, appeal denied 8/25/10

2010 PC 901, 35 TAM 21-43, GA

2010 PC 1113, 35 TAM 28-32, GA

State ex rel. Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Farmer, 35 TAM 40-16, CA WS

**Child Support (Excessive)**

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Child Support (Jurisdiction)**

Goins v. Gay, 35 TAM 7-8, CA ES

**Child Support (Tax Consequences)**

Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS

**Child Support (Wage Assignment)**

State ex rel. Rickard v. Holt, 35 TAM 17-8, CA WS at Nashville

**Conservator**

2010 PC 831, 35 TAM 20-48, GA

**Contempt**

2010 PC 894, 35 TAM 21-44, GA

**Division of Property (Contribution to Estate)**

Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

**Division of Property (Factors)**

Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS

**Division of Property (Fairness)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville  
Larsen-Ball v. Ball, 35 TAM 4-3, 301 SW3d 228, SC Knoxville  
Burris v. Burris, 35 TAM 19-8, CA WS at Nashville  
Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS

**Division of Property (Payment of Debts)**

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

**Division of Property (Sale)**

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

**Divorce**

McDaniel v. McDaniel, 35 TAM 26-13, CA ES

**Duty to Support**

2010 PC 924, 35 TAM 23-50, GA

**Foster Care**

2010 PC 881, 35 TAM 21-42, GA  
2010 PC 1065, 35 TAM 27-34, GA

**Guardian Ad Litem**

In re Supreme Court Rule 40A, Extension of Effective Date, 35 TAM 20-4, SC Nashville

**Guardianship**

2010 PC 817, 35 TAM 19-46, GA  
2010 PC 821, 35 TAM 19-47, GA  
2010 PC 831, 35 TAM 20-48, GA

**Marital Property**

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10  
Larsen-Ball v. Ball, 35 TAM 4-3, 301 SW3d 228, SC Knoxville

**Marital Property (Appreciation During Marriage)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville

**Marital Property (Pensions)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville  
Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS  
Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Marital Property (Professional Licenses)**

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

**Marital Property (Separate Property)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville  
Cummins v. Cummins, 35 TAM 35-11, CA MS

**Marital Property (Separate Property: Use During Marriage)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville  
Anderson v. Anderson, 34 TAM 49-16, CA MS  
Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

**Marital Property (Title)**

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Marital Property (Valuation)**

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville  
Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**Marital Property (Valuation Date)**

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

## **Name Change**

Goins v. Gay, 35 TAM 7-8, CA ES

## **Parental Rights**

2010 PC 887, 35 TAM 21-40, GA

In re Bernard T., 35 TAM 36-4, SC Jackson

## **Parental Rights (Child's Best Interest)**

In re Bernard T., 35 TAM 36-4, SC Jackson

## **Parental Rights (Counsel)**

2010 PC 1003, 35 TAM 24-50, GA

## **Parental Rights (Procedure)**

In re Angela E., 35 TAM 8-2, 303 SW3d 240, SC Jackson

## **Parental Rights (Severe Child Abuse)**

In re Benjamin M., 34 TAM 48-11, 310 SW3d 844, CA ES

In re Heaven L. F., 35 TAM 12-12, 311 SW3d 435, CA MS

## **Parenting Plans**

Barker v. Chandler, 34 TAM 42-13, CA WS

Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville

Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville

2010 PC 956, 35 TAM 24-49, GA

## **Paternity**

2010 PC 888, 35 TAM 21-41, GA

In re Kempton L.D., 35 TAM 23-10, CA WS

## **Paternity (Support & Expenses)**

K.A.G. v. B.L.I., 35 TAM 1-16, CA ES at Nashville

## **Postnuptial Agreement**

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

## **Property Settlement (Enforcement)**

Brinton v. Brinton, 35 TAM 25-15, CA MS

## **Protection Order**

2010 PC 959, 35 TAM 24-51, GA

2010 PC 1094, 35 TAM 27-35, GA

## **Uniform Interstate Family Support Act**

2010 PC 901, 35 TAM 21-43, GA

## **Visitation**

Barker v. Chandler, 34 TAM 42-13, CA WS

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

2010 PC 956, 35 TAM 24-49, GA

## **Visitation (Grandparents)**

In re Sidney J., 35 TAM 20-1, 313 SW3d 772, SC Nashville

2010 PC 957, 35 TAM 24-48, GA

## **Visitation (Modification: Increase)**

Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville

Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville

## **Visitation (Restrictions)**

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS

## **GOVERNMENT**

### **Immigration**

Baines v. Baines, 34 TAM 50-17, CA ES

### **Statutes (Interpretation)**

Larsen-Ball v. Ball, 35 TAM 4-3, 301 SW3d 228, SC Knoxville

### **Student Loans**

2010 PC 1113, 35 TAM 28-32, GA

### **TennCare**

2010 PC 1113, 35 TAM 28-32, GA

## **PROFESSION OF LAW**

### **Dispute Resolution**

Tuetken v. Tuetken, 35 TAM 40-3, SC Jackson

## **TAXATION**

### **Refund**

2010 PC 1113, 35 TAM 28-32, GA

## **ALPHABETICAL INDEX**

2010 PC 760, 35 TAM 18-32

### **35 TAM 18-32:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-18-32.pdf>

2010 PC 817, 35 TAM 19-46

### **35 TAM 19-46:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-19-46.pdf>

2010 PC 821, 35 TAM 19-47

### **35 TAM 19-47:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-19-47.pdf>

2010 PC 831, 35 TAM 20-48

### **35 TAM 20-48:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-20-48.pdf>

2010 PC 832, 35 TAM 20-46

### **35 TAM 20-46:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35/35-TAM-20-46.pdf>

2010 PC 849, 35 TAM 20-47

### **35 TAM 20-47:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-20-47.pdf>

2010 PC 881, 35 TAM 21-42

### **35 TAM 21-42:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-42.pdf>

2010 PC 887, 35 TAM 21-40

### **35 TAM 21-40:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-40.pdf>

2010 PC 888, 35 TAM 21-41

### **35 TAM 21-41:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-41.pdf>

2010 PC 894, 35 TAM 21-44

### **35 TAM 21-44:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-44.pdf>

2010 PC 901, 35 TAM 21-43

### **35 TAM 21-43:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-43.pdf>

2010 PC 915, 35 TAM 23-49

### **35 TAM 23-49:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-23-49.pdf>

2010 PC 924, 35 TAM 23-50

### **35 TAM 23-50:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-23-50.pdf>

2010 PC 956, 35 TAM 24-49

### **35 TAM 24-49:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-24-49.pdf>

2010 PC 957, 35 TAM 24-48

### **35 TAM 24-48:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-24-48.pdf>

2010 PC 959, 35 TAM 24-51

### **35 TAM 24-51:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-24-51.pdf>

2010 PC 1003, 35 TAM 24-50

### **35 TAM 24-50:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-24-50.pdf>

2010 PC 1065, 35 TAM 27-34

### **35 TAM 27-34:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-27-34.pdf>

2010 PC 1094, 35 TAM 27-35

### **35 TAM 27-35:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-27-35.pdf>

2010 PC 1113, 35 TAM 28-32

### **35 TAM 28-32:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-28-32.pdf>

Anderson v. Anderson, 34 TAM 49-16, CA MS

### **34 TAM 49-16:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-49-16.pdf>

Audiffred v. Wertz, 35 TAM 2-15, CA WS at Nashville, appeal denied 8/25/10

### **35 TAM 2-15:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-2-15.pdf>

Baines v. Baines, 34 TAM 50-17, CA ES

### **34 TAM 50-17:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-50-17.pdf>

Barker v. Chandler, 34 TAM 42-13, CA WS

### **34 TAM 42-13:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-42-13.pdf>

Bradley v. Bradley, 35 TAM 31-9, CA MS

### **35 TAM 31-9:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-31-9.pdf>

Brinton v. Brinton, 35 TAM 25-15, CA MS

### **35 TAM 25-15:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-25-15.pdf>

Burris v. Burris, 35 TAM 19-8, CA WS at Nashville

### **35 TAM 19-8:**

<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-19-8.pdf>

Chiozza v. Chiozza, 35 TAM 1-22, 315 SW3d 482, CA WS, appeal denied 5/20/10

**35 TAM 1-22:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-1-22.pdf>

Cummins v. Cummins, 35 TAM 35-11, CA MS

**35 TAM 35-11:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-35-11.pdf>

Ghorashi-Bajestani v. Bajestani, 35 TAM 38-12, CA ES

**35 TAM 38-12:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-38-12.pdf>

Goins v. Gay, 35 TAM 7-8, CA ES

**35 TAM 7-8:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-7-8.pdf>

Gonsewski v. Gonsewski, 35 TAM 12-14, CA MS, appeal granted 8/25/10

**35 TAM 12-14:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-12-14.pdf>

Heffington v. Heffington, 35 TAM 13-13, CA WS at Nashville

**35 TAM 13-13:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-13-13.pdf>

Hudson v. Hudson, 34 TAM 49-12, CA ES at Nashville, appeal granted 4/15/10

**34 TAM 49-12:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-49-12.pdf>

In re Angela E., 35 TAM 8-2, 303 SW3d 240, SC Jackson

**35 TAM 8-2:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-8-2.pdf>

In re Benjamin M., 34 TAM 48-11, 310 SW3d 844, CA ES, appeal denied 3/15/10

**34 TAM 48-11:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-48-11.pdf>

In re Bernard T., 35 TAM 36-4, SC Jackson

**35 TAM 36-4:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-36-4.pdf>

In re Heaven L. F., 35 TAM 12-12, 311 SW3d 435, CA MS, appeal denied 5/6/10

**35 TAM 12-12:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-12-12.pdf>

In re Kempton L.D., 35 TAM 23-10, CA WS

**35 TAM 23-10:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-23-10.pdf>

In re Sidney J., 35 TAM 20-1, 313 SW3d 772, SC Nashville

**35 TAM 20-1:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-20-1.pdf>

In re Supreme Court Rule 40A, Extension of Effective Date, 35 TAM 20-4, SC Nashville

**35 TAM 20-4:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-20-4.pdf>

In re Tyrus V., 35 TAM 37-14, CA MS

**35 TAM 37-14:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-37-14.pdf>

K.A.G. v. B.L.I., 35 TAM 1-16, CA ES at Nashville

**35 TAM 1-16:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-1-16.pdf>

Keith v. Keith, 35 TAM 17-9, CA ES

**35 TAM 17-9:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-17-9.pdf>

Kershaw v. Kershaw, 34 TAM 52-11, CA MS

**34 TAM 52-11:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-52-11.pdf>

King v. King, 35 TAM 32-6, CA MS

**35 TAM 32-6:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-32-6.pdf>

Lane v. Lane, 34 TAM 51-7, CA WS at Nashville

**34 TAM 51-7:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-51-7.pdf>

Larsen-Ball v. Ball, 35 TAM 4-3, 301 SW3d 228, SC Knoxville

**35 TAM 4-3:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-4-3.pdf>

Massey v. Casals, 35 TAM 1-21, 315 SW3d 788, CA WS, appeal denied 5/12/10

**35 TAM 1-21:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-1-21.pdf>

Mathis v. Mathis, 34 TAM 50-18, CA MS, appeal denied 4/23/10

**34 TAM 50-18:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-50-18.pdf>

Matthews v. Matthews, 35 TAM 21-12, CA MS

**35 TAM 21-12:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-21-12.pdf>

McDaniel v. McDaniel, 35 TAM 26-13, CA ES

**35 TAM 26-13:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-26-13.pdf>

McKee-Livingston v. Livingston, 35 TAM 8-18, CA MS, appeal denied 8/25/10

**35 TAM 8-18:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-8-18.pdf>

Pace v. Pace, 35 TAM 19-10, CA WS at Nashville

**35 TAM 19-10:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-19-10.pdf>

Reed v. Steadham, 34 TAM 47-11, CA ES

**34 TAM 47-11:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-47-11.pdf>

Reinagel v. Reinagel, 35 TAM 33-7, CA ES at Nashville

**35 TAM 33-7:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-33-7.pdf>

Small v. Small, 35 TAM 9-13, CA MS

**35 TAM 9-13:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-9-13.pdf>

Snodgrass v. Snodgrass, 34 TAM 42-2, 295 SW3d 240, SC Knoxville

**34 TAM 42-2:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-42-2.pdf>

State ex rel. Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Farmer, 35 TAM 40-16, CA WS

**35 TAM 40-16:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-40-16.pdf>

State ex rel. DeBusk v. DeBusk, 35 TAM 12-13, CA ES

**35 TAM 12-13:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-12-13.pdf>

State ex rel. Rickard v. Holt, 35 TAM 17-8, CA WS at Nashville

**35 TAM 17-8:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-17-8.pdf>

Tuetken v. Tuetken, 35 TAM 40-3, SC Jackson

**35 TAM 40-3:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-40-3.pdf>

Webb v. Webb, 34 TAM 47-8, CA MS

**34 TAM 47-8:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/34-TAM-47-8.pdf>

Wiser v. Wiser, 35 TAM 30-10, CA WS at Nashville

**35 TAM 30-10:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-30-10.pdf>

Young v. Engel, 35 TAM 14-10, CA MS

**35 TAM 14-10:**  
<http://www.mleesmith.com/tenn/cases/fam10/35-TAM-14-10.pdf>